Ensuring the Adaptive Path for the Routing Using DSR Protocol in Ad-hoc Wireless Network

Basavaraju S

Associate Professor, Dept. of ISE, Brindavan College of Engineering, Bangalore – 63

Abstract: In this paper, the idea to ensure the adaptive nature of the routing tables maintained in the memory. Whenever the routing protocol detects the new path for transferring of packets to the destination node it has to update the information to the memory. So the proposed idea is to save the routing information in the cache memory rather than in the ram. Whenever the re-routing take place to same route no need to broadcast the route once again so the client machine can fetch the information from the cache which is the fastest memory.

Keywords: Routing; DSR; Cache; Broadcast.

I. INTRODUCTION

In today's world networking is essential for every aspect of the work. So only the network is not sufficient for the process to run. The network can be a wired and a wireless network. The problem with wired network is it has to maintain physically. It is also not going to work faster. So the introduce of the wireless protocol made the networking feature to an extern extent.

Ad hoc wireless network consists of mobile nodes (hosts), that are connected by wireless links. Routing protocols used for traditional wired network cannot be directly applied in ad hoc wireless networks due to their highly dynamic topology, absence of established infrastructure for centralized administration, bandwidth constraints, resource constraints.

II. EXISTING SYSTEM

Prior work in DSR used heuristics with ad hoc parameters to predict the lifetime of a link or a route. However, heuristics cannot accurately estimate timeouts because topology changes are unpredictable. Prior researches have proposed to provide link failure feedback to TCP so that TCP can avoid responding to route failures as if congestion TCP performance degrades significantly in Ad hoc Networks due to the packet losses.

III. DISTRIBUTED ALGORITHM HAS THE FOLLOWING DESIRABLE PROPERTIES

- *Distributed*: The algorithm uses only local information and communicates with neighborhood Nodes; therefore, it is scalable with network size.

- *Adaptive*: The algorithm notifies only the nodes that have cached a broken link to update their Caches; therefore, cache update overhead is minimized.

- *Proactive on-demand*: Proactive cache updating is triggered on-demand, without periodic behavior.

- *Without ad hoc mechanisms*: The algorithm does not use any ad hoc parameters, thus making route caches fully adaptive to topology changes.

IV. CLIENT/SERVER INTERACTION IN AD-HOC DISTRIBUTED NETWORK

The client has the job of initiating contact with the server. In order for the server to be able to react to the client's initial contact, the server has to be ready. This implies two things. First, the server program cannot be inactive; it must be running as a process before the client attempts to initiate contact.

Second, the server program must have some sort of door (i.e. socket) that welcomes some initial contact from a client (running on an arbitrary machine). The client's initial contact is referred to as "knocking on the door".


Fig 1. Socket Interface

With the server process running, the client process can initiate a TCP connection to the server. This is done in the client program by creating a socket object. When the client creates its socket object, it specifies the address of the server process, the IP address of the server and the port number of the process. Upon the creation of the socket the server hears the knocking, it creates a new door (i.e. new socket) that is dedicated to that particular client. In practice, the welcoming door is a Server socket object that calls the welcome Socket. When a client knocks on this door, the program invokes welcome Sockets's accept () method, which creates a new door for the client. Now there exists a TCP connection between the server and the client. The TCP connection is a direct virtual pipe

Publisher: PiCES Journal, www.pices-journal.com
NC-EVEN was held at Brindavan College of Engineering, Bengaluru, India on 11th May, 2017.
134

between the client's socket and the server's connection socket. The client process can send arbitrary bytes into its socket; TCP guarantees that the server process will receive each byte in the order sent.

V. DISTRIBUTED CACHE UPDATING

To meet the diverse quality-of-service (QoS) requirements of emerging multimedia applications, communication networks should provide end-to-end QoS guarantees. QoS routing is the first step towards this goal. It seeks to find routes that satisfy a set of QoS constraints while achieving overall network efficiency. Therefore, unlike current routing protocols, QoS routing protocols rely on dynamic network state information for computing QoS routes. Frequent route computing and network state updates, especially in large networks, can cause computing and traffic overhead, respectively. Therefore, scalability to large networks has been identified as one of the key issues in designing a QoS routing protocol. It is desirable to minimize these overheads without sacrificing the overall routing performance.

In QoS-capable networks, routes are computed upon arrival of calls. The main advantage of this on-demand is its simplicity. However, in large networks with high arrival rates, this approach can cause significant computing load. The pre-computing technique has been proposed and shown to be an effective solution to reduce route computing load. The principle is to compute routes as a background process and use them when a call arrives, therefore reducing the computing load upon each arrival. In this paper, focus on route caching that has been recently proposed as a solution to reduce the route computing load by reusing already computed routes.

In route caching, a newly computed rout e is stored in a cache for possible use by future calls. Upon arrival of a call, the cache is searched for a route that can satisfy the requested QoS parameters. If no such route is found i n the cache, then a new route has to be computed. Because cache size is limited, cache replacement policies should be used when the cache is full. In addition, when several feasible routes are found in the cache, eficiently. While caching is a promising approach to reduce route computing load, believe that recent proposals have taken very simplistic approaches and several fundamental issues have received no attention. Firstly, the hierarchical architecture of very large networks has not been taken into account. Large networks are potentially crosses several domains. Considering that each domain represents only an aggregated view of its internal topology and state information, the important question is:

How can such an end-to-end route be cached efficiently? Finally cached routes are subject to changes in the network conditions and should be regularly updated. The simple update techniques that try to periodically re-compute all cached routes can cause considerable computing load.

In this paper, propose a novel distributed cache architecture to reduce the route computing load, while addressing the above-mentioned issues. Our distributed cache architecture has several advantages as follows: It can scale to very large networks since it has a distributed nature. It has been designed to be easily deployable in networks with multiple domains. A cache content management/replacement technique called cache flushing has been developed. It suits the distributed nature of our cache architecture. The traditional cache replacement techniques take action when the cache is full and a new entry has to be added. In contrast, the cache flushing works in the background and always maintains some free space in the cache elements of the proposed distributed cache architecture (accurately define the meaning of the cache element

Once a route is cached, our distributed cache architecture does not rely on network state updates and operates independently. Therefore, our cache architecture does not suffer from inaccuracy of the network states information caused by topology aggregation, delays in the distribution of the network states, or network state update interval. Instead, our architecture directly monitors only those parts of the network that are more likely to be used

In this way, it intelligently adapts to the changes in the network states. This is done by a novel technique called cache snooping which has been developed to alleviate the effects of network state fluctuations on the cached routes with minimum overhead Cache snooping increases the routing tolerance to inaccurate network state information. This improves the overall routing performance, especially in the presence of highly inaccurate network state information.

Another technique that is designed to increase the performance of the distributed cache architecture is Borrowing, route borrowing lets a long end-to-end cached route to be partially reused to major reduction in route computing load.

It is considered simplicity as a key design issue for distributed cache architecture and its associated. Therefore, the distributed cache architecture relies on simple but efficient algorithms and techniques so that the added complexity to the network is minimized

In this paper, it is assume that network links and nodes are fault-free and function perfectly. This let us to focus on exploring different aspects of the distributed cache architecture and its operation. It is also worth mentioning that the distributed nature of the proposed cache architecture is an essential pre-requisite for developing the cache snooping. As detail throughout the paper, it becomes clear that without the distributed cache architecture in place, the cache snooping cannot be developed.

VI. CACHE TABLE

Design a cache table that has no capacity limit. Without capacity limit allows DSR to store all discovered routes and thus reduces route discoveries. The cache size increases as new routes are discovered and decreases as stale routes are removed.

There are 4 fields in a cache table entry:

1. *Dest*: It specifies the destination node to which Message is to be sent.

2. *Bandwidth*: It specifies the bandwidth of the node through which the route follows.

3. *Address*: It specifies the complete route taken by message to reach the destination node.

4. *Date*: The date of route update.

5. *Time*: The time of route update.

Module 1: Route Request

When a source node wants to send packets to a destination to which it does not have a route, it initiates a Route Discovery by broadcasting a ROUTE REQUEST. The node receiving a ROUTE REQUEST checks whether it has a route to the destination in its cache. If it has, it sends a ROUTE REPLY to the source including a source route, which is the concatenation of the source route in the ROUTE REQUEST and the cached route. If the node does not have a cached route to the destination, it adds its address to the source route and rebroadcasts the ROUTE REQUEST.

When the destination receives the ROUTE REQUEST, it sends a ROUTE REPLY containing the source route to the source. Each node forwarding a ROUTE REPLY stores the route starting from itself to the destination. When the source receives the ROUTE REPLY, it caches the source route.

Module 2: Message Transfer

The Message transfer relates with that the sender node wants to send a message to the destination node after the path is selected and status of the destination node through is true. The receiver node receives the message completely and then it send the acknowledgement to the sender node through the router nodes where it is received the message.

Module 3: Route Maintenance

Route Maintenance, the node forwarding a packet is responsible for confirming that the packet has been successfully received by the next hop. If no acknowledgement is received after the maximum number of retransmissions, the forwarding node sends a ROUTE ERROR to the source, indicating the broken link. Each node forwarding the ROUTE ERROR removes from its cache the routes containing the broken link.

Module 4: Cache Updating

When a node detects a link failure, our goal is to notify all reachable nodes that have cached that link to update their caches. To achieve this goal, the node detecting a link failure needs to know which nodes have cached the broken link and needs to notify such nodes efficiently. Our solution is to keep track of topology propagation state in a distributed manner.

VII. CONCLUSION

This paper has presented a protocol for routing packets between wireless mobile hosts in an ad hoc network. Unlike routing protocols using distance vector or link state algorithms, our protocol uses dynamic source routing which adapts quickly to routing changes when host movement is frequent, yet requires little or no overhead during periods in which hosts move less frequently. Based on results from a packet-level simulation of mobile hosts operating in an ad hoc network, the protocol performs well over a variety of host movement simulated, the overhead of the protocol is quite low, falling to just 1% of total data packets transmitted for moderate movement rates in a network of 24 mobile hosts. In all cases, the difference in length between the routes used and the optimal route lengths is negligible, and in most cases, route lengths are on average within a factor of 1.02 of optimal.

In currently expanding simulations to incorporate some additional optimizations and to quantify the effects of the individual optimizations on the behavior and performance of the protocol. are also continuing to study other routing protocols for use in ad hoc networks, including those based on distance vector or link state routing, as well as the interconnection of an ad hoc network with a wide-area network such as the Internet, reachable by some but not all of the ad hoc network nodes. Although this paper does not address the security concerns inherent in wireless networks or packet routing, are currently examining these issues with respect to attacks on privacy and denial of service in the routing protocol. Finally, are beginning implementation of the protocol on notebook computers for use by students in an academic environment.

REFERENCES

[1] David F. Bantz and Fr´ed´eric J. Bauchot. Wireless LAN design alternatives. IEEE Network, 8(2):43–53, March/April 1994.

[2] Vaduvur Bharghavan, Alan Demers, Scott Shenker, and Lixia Zhang. MACAW: A media access protocol for wireless LAN's. In Proceedings of the SIGCOMM '94 Conference on Communications Architectures, Protocols and Applications, pages 212–225, August 1994.

[3] Rober t T. Braden, editor. Requirements for Internet hosts—communication layers. Internet Request For Comments RFC 1122, October 1989.

[4] Roy C. Dixon and Daniel A. Pitt. Addressing, bridging, and source routing. IEEE Network, 2(1):25–32, January 1988.

[5] Deborah Estrin, Daniel Zappala, Tony Li, Yakov Rekhter, and Kannan Varadhan. Source Demand Routing:

[6] Packet format and forwarding specification (version 1). Internet Draft, January 1995. Work in progress.

[7] Daniel M. Frank. Transmission of IP datagrams over NET/ROMnetworks. In ARRL Amateur Radio 7th Computer Networking Conference, pages 65–70, October 1988.

[8] Bdale Garbee. Thoughts on the issues of address resolution and routing in amateur packet radio TCP/IP networks.In ARRL Amateur Radio 6th Computer Networking Conference, pages 56–58, August 1987.

Publisher: PiCES Journal, www.pices-journal.com
NC-EVEN was held at Brindavan College of Engineering, Bengaluru, India on 11th May, 2017.

136